

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/721,672 Lisa V. Lloyd 11/25/2003 IVP-109 5640 06/23/2005 EXAMINER 7590 Lisa V. Lloyd DOAN, ROBYN KIEU 3335 N Manor Dr ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Tucson, AZ 85750 3732

DATE MAILED: 06/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				ϵ
-		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/721,672	LLOYD, LISA V.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Robyn Doan	3732	
Period	The MAILING DATE of this communication app for Reply	pears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence a	ddress
THE - Ex aft - If t - If t - Fa Ar	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Itensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. he period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replay of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period illure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute by reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing right patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, ly within the statutory minimun will apply and will expire SIX (e, cause the application to bec	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered time 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this ome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	•			
1)[∑	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 November 2003.			
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.			
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispos	ition of Claims	,		
4)∑	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)[∑	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.			
7)[Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Applica	ation Papers			
9)[☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)[☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)L	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the att	ached Office Action or form F	'TO-152.
Priority	v under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document and copies of the certified copies of the priority document and copies of the certified copies of the priority document and copies of the certified copies of the priority document and copies of the priority document and copies of the certified copies of the priority document and copies of the priority docume	ts have been receive ts have been receive onty documents have	d. d in Application No been received in this Nationa	al Stage
,	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachm	ent(s)	•		0.1
	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)		rview Summary (PTO-413)	
_	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		er No(s)/Mail Date ice of Informal Patent Application (P	ΓΟ-152)
	ormation disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06) per No(s)/Mail Date)		102)

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Objections

The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claim 7.5 been renumbered as 8, therefore all the claims will be renumbered as 1-15; Also, claim 13 depends on claim 9 and claim 14 depends on claim 10.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chan et al (5762076).

With regard to claims 1-5, Chan et al discloses a hair device (fig. 1) comprising a top concave upper portion (12, col. 2, lines 40-42) for gathering upper portion of hair in position and holding the hair in a desired position, a lower portion (10) having at least

Art Unit: 3732

two teeth (180, 18P) for combing action and holding larger amounts of hair in place, wherein the lower portion being at least as long as the upper portion.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chan et al in view of Solomon (3595249).

With regard to claims 6-15, Chan et al discloses a hair device comprising all the claimed limitations in claims 1 as discussed above except for the upper and lower portions being flexible and hingedly connected together and a plurality of rigid interior attached to the upper portion. Solomon discloses a hair device (fig. 1) comprising an upper portion (12) and a lower portion (18) being made of flexible material (col. 2, line 52) and the upper portion having a plurality of interior teeth (38'). It would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the flexible material as taught by Solomon into the hair device of Chan et al for the purpose of gripping the hair. It would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the rigid teeth, since it has

Art Unit: 3732

been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Thatcher, Renstrom et al, Waggner and Criscuolo are cited to show the state of the art with respect to a hair device.

The drawings filed 11/15/2004 have been approved by the Examiner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robyn Doan whose telephone number is (571) 272-4711. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free)

Robyn Doan June 20, 2005

> John J. Wilson Primary Examiner